1 2

VS.

. . |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM ROCHA,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-2274 FCD GGH P

CA. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On November 9, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 9, 2005, are adopted in
3	full; and
4	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u> Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R
5	Civ. P. 41(b).
6	DATED:December 7, 2005
7	
8	/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	